Virtual University of Pakistan

Assessment Team Report

Department: Education

Program Title: B.Ed. Elementary (2.5-Year)

Assessment Cycle: Cycle-III Assessment Year: 2023-24

Criteria Referenced Evaluation

	Excellent Performance in all areas.	Good to Excellent Performance in all areas.	Good Performance for most areas *	Fair Performance in most of the areas.	Poor Performance in most of the areas.	Score
Criterion 1 - Program Mission, Objectives and Outcomes				✓		2.67
Criterion 2 - Curriculum Design and Organization		✓				15.50
Criterion 3 - Laboratories and Computing Facilities			✓			6.00
Criterion 4 - Student Support and Advising		✓				8.00
Criterion 5 - Process Control		✓				11.45
Criterion 6 - Faculty		✓				16.57
Criterion 7 - Institutional Facilities		✓				8.00
Criterion 8 - Institutional Support				✓		5.00

^{*} No poor performance in any areas.

Signature of AT:

Name & Designation:

Dr. Hina Amin

Lecturer

Education Department

Virtual University of Pakistan

Signature of DQE Coordinator:

Name & Designation:

Mehboob Ahmed Khatri

Manager QA

Directorate of Quality Enhancement

Virtual University of Pakistan

C	riterion 1 – Program Mission, Objectives and Outcomes	Weigh			Weight =	0.05
	Factors Score	5	4	3	2	1
1	Does the Program have documented measurable objectives that support faculty / college and institution mission statements?		Ø			
2	Does the Program have documented outcomes for graduating students?					Ø
3	Do these outcomes support the Program objectives?					V
4	Are the graduating students capable of performing these outcomes?		V			
5	Does the department assess its overall performance periodically using quantifiable measures?			Ø		
6	6 Is the result of the Program Assessment documented?			V		
	Total Encircled Value (TV)		8	6	0	2
	Score 1 (S1) = [TV/(No. of Questions *5)] *100 *Weight =			2.67		

	Comments/Observations/Key Findings:		
1	Program outcomes are not available, that is why sr. no 3 n 4 are marked as 1	1	Departmental curriculum committee will work on developing program learning outcomes
2	CLOs were mapped on PLOs. There are two general courses in this degree CS101 and VU001. CS101 has 0% mapping with PLOs while VU001 has 40% mapping with PLOs on average.	2	Rest of the courses are Required courses and all are mapped with PLOs. Also, CLOs shows 6-26% mapping with PLOs.
3		3	

4

C	Criterion 2 – Curriculum Design and Organization			Weight =	0.20	
	Factors Score	5	4	3	2	1
1	Is the curriculum consistent?				Ø	
2	Does the curriculum support the program's documented objectives?		V			
3	Are theoretical background, problem analysis and solution design stressed within the program's core material?		Ø			
4	Does the curriculum satisfy the core requirements laid down by respective accreditation bodies?		Ø			
5	Does the curriculum satisfy the major requirements laid down by HEC and the respective councils / accreditation bodies?		Ø			
6	Does the curriculum satisfy the general education, arts and professional and other discipline requirements as laid down by the respective / accreditation bodies / councils?	Ø				
7	Is the information technology component integrated throughout the program?		Ø			
8	Are oral and written skills of the students developed and applied in the program?		Ø			
	Total Encircled Value (TV)	5	24	0	2	0
	Score 2 (S2) = [TV/(No. of Questions *5)] *100 *Weight =			15.50		

Comments/Observations/Key Findings:		
The program needs to be accredited by the concerned authority (NACTE).	1	The application has already been submitted to NACTE, however, their instruments for online education are still in process.
	2	
3	3	

	4		4	
--	---	--	---	--

C	riterion 3 – Laboratories and Computing Facilities	Weight = 0.10				0.10
	Factors Score	5	4	3	2	1
1	Are laboratory manuals / documentation / instructions etc. for experiments available and readily accessible to faculty and students?					Ø
2	Are there adequate number of support personnel for instruction and maintaining the laboratories?		Ø			
3	Are the university's infrastructure and facilities adequate to support the program objectives?		Ø			
Total Encircled Value (TV)		0	8	0	0	1
Score 3 (S3) = [TV/(No. of Questions *5)] *100 *Weight =		6.00				

	Comments/Observations/Key Findings:		
1	Content of all courses in this degree program does not require any lab work.	1	
2		2	
3		3	
4		4	
5		5	
6		6	

C	riterion 4 – Student Support and Advising	Weight = 0.10				0.10	
Factors Score		5	4	3	2	1	
1	Are the courses being offered in sufficient frequency and number for the students to complete the program in a timely manner?		Ø				
2	Are the courses in the major area structured to optimize interaction between the students, faculty and teaching assistants?		Ø				
3	Does the university provide academic advising on course decisions and career choices to all students?		Ø				
	Total Encircled Value (TV)		12	0	0	0	
	Score 4 (S4) = [TV/(No. of Questions *5)] *100 *Weight =		8.00				

	Comments/Observations/Key Findings:		
1	All courses are Required courses in this degree program as per Existing HEC policy.	1	Study scheme will be revised as per new UG policy 2023.
2		2	
3		3	
4		4	
5		5	
6		6	

C	Criterion 5 – Process Control Weight = 0.15								
	Factors Score	5	4	3	2	1			
1	Is the process to enrol students to a program based on quantitative and qualitative criteria?		Ø						
2	Is the process above clearly documented and periodically evaluated to ensure that it is meeting its objectives?		Ø						
3	Is the process to register students in the program and monitoring their progress documented?		Ø						
4	Is the process above periodically evaluated to ensure that it is meeting its objectives?		Ø						
5	Is the process to recruit and retain faculty in place and documented?		Ø						
6	Are the processes for faculty evaluation & promotion consistent with the institution mission?		Ø						
7	Are the processes in 5 and 6 above periodically evaluated to ensure that they are meeting their objectives?		Ø						
8	Do the processes and procedures ensure that teaching and delivery of course material emphasize active learning and that course learning outcomes are met?			Ø					
9	Is the process in 8 above periodically evaluated to ensure that it is meeting its objectives?		Ø						
10	Is the process to ensure that graduates have completed the requirements of the program base on standards and documented procedures?		Ø						
11	Is the process in 10 above periodically evaluated to ensure that it is meeting its objectives?			Ø					
	Total Encircled Value (TV)	0	36	6	0	0			
	Score 5 (S5) = [TV/(No. of Questions *5)] *100 *Weight =			11.45					

Comments/Observations/Key Findings:	

1	1	

C	riterion 6 – Faculty				Weight =	0.20
	Factors Score	5	4	3	2	1
1	Are there enough full-time faculty members to provide adequate coverage of the program areas / courses with continuity and stability?		Ø			
2	Are the qualifications and interests of faculty members sufficient to teach all courses, plan, modify and update courses and curricula?		Ø			
3	Do the faculty members posses a level of competence that would be obtained through graduate work in the discipline?	Image: Control of the				
4	Do the majority of faculty members hold a Ph.D. degree in their discipline?		Ø			
5	Do faculty members dedicate sufficient time to research to remain current in their disciplines?		Ø			
6	Are there mechanisms in place for faculty development?		Ø			
7	Are faculty members motivated and satisfied so as to excel in their profession?		Ø			
	Total Encircled Value (TV)	5	24	0	0	0
	Score 6 (S6) = [TV/(No. of Questions *5)] *100 *Weight =			16.57		

	Comments/Observations/Key Findings:		
1	As enrolment is increasing over the semesters, more full-time faculty will be required in future.	1	
2		2	

	3	3	
--	---	---	--

C	riterion 7 – Institutional Facilities	Weight = 0.10				
	Factors Score	5	4	3	2	1
1	Does the institution have the infrastructure to support new trends such as e-learning?		Ø			
2	Does the library contain technical collection relevant to the program and is it adequately staffed?		Ø			
3	Are the classrooms and offices adequately equipped and capable of helping faculty carry out their responsibilities?		Ø			
	Total Encircled Value (TV)	0	12	0	0	0
	Score 7 (S7) = [TV/(No. of Questions *5)] *100 *Weight =			8.00		

	Comments/Observations/Key Findings:		
1		1	
2		2	
3		3	
4		4	
5		5	

6	6	
---	---	--

С	riterion 8 – Institutional Support				Weight =	0.10
	Factors Score	5	4	3	2	1
1	Is there sufficient support and finances to attract and retain high quality faculty?			V		
2	Are there an adequate number of high-quality graduate students, teaching assistants and Ph.D. students?				Ø	
	Total Encircled Value (TV)	0	0	3	2	0
	Score 8 (S8) = [TV/(No. of Questions *5)] *100 *Weight =			5.00		
	Comments/Observations/Key Findings:					
1	1					

OVERALL ASSESSMENT SCORE =
$$S1 + S2 + S3 + S4 + S5 + S6 + S7 + S8$$

= $2.67 + 15.5 + 6.00 + 8.00 + 11.4 + 16.5 + 8.00 + 5.00$
= $73.19/100$

Overall Comments by Assessment Team:

Program learning outcomes are missing which needs to be added at priority basis. It is further noticed that B.Ed. 2.5 elementary is not discussed under new HEC policy (2023), therefore new intake may be reconsidered at the time of implementation of new policy. Graduating and Alumni surveys needs to be Bilingual to get true and maximum students' response. It is concluded that qualitative responses targeting the students who are not completing their degree in due time will be collected to triangulate the findings of the surveys and improve the quality of the degree program.

Comments by DQE Coordinator:

			1
			'